

Draft: Chat rules for Our World of Text

1. You **must not** spam¹.
 - a. *Flooding*² is also a bannable offense, **unless** the user is trying to start a conversation/continue their message, etc.
2. You **must not** post, request, or link to illegal content according to United States law.
 - a. This is punishable with a permanent mute with no appeal, and authorities ought to be contacted if possible.
3. You **must** be over the age of 13 to chat.
 - a. Punished by a temporary mute until the user is 13.
 - b. If an underage user evades this mute, and does not break any rule other than 3 and 10, then they will **not** be punished further than the already existing temporary mute.
4. You **must not** post, request, or link to gore, pornography, or extensively talk about sexual or disturbing topics.
 - a. Conversations **without** graphic description of sexual emotion are **permitted**.
 - b. Conversations **with** graphic descriptions of sexual emotion are **not permitted**. This includes long discussion that is purely about sexual stimulation.
 - c. One-off comments are **permitted in moderation**.
 - d. Repeated jokes or comments regarding sex, or other taboo content (such as defecating, urinating, vomiting) are **not permitted**.
 - e. Extremely graphic humour³ is punishable with a 24-hour mute and repeat offenses will be punished more severely.
5. You **must not** harass other users of the chat.
 - a. Punishment depends on severity. A 1-month mute would be given for doxxing, for example.
6. You **must not** run bots in global chat.
 - a. Bot creators are advised to restrict a bot to */tell* or the front-page chat; if not possible, implement a cooldown.
 - b. *Goatways*⁴ are **permitted**.
7. Racism, xenophobia, homophobia, transphobia, etc. are **not** allowed.
 - a. Messages sent under this rule do not have to be sincere to be punished.
 - b. Political satire with clearly made points is **permitted**, but will be subject to all other rules.
 - c. Declaring beliefs under this rule respectfully and open-mindedly is **permitted**.
8. All mutes **must** be justified with one of the rules or clarifications specified in this document. If needed, a moderator can specify *exactly* why they think so.
 - e.g. **You have been muted until 1 January 2027 10:53 PM for: Rule 3.**
 - e.g. **You have been muted until 12 July 2026 4:07 PM for: Rule 6, repeat offense (2x)**
9. You **must not** slow down ("lag") chat for other users.
10. You **must not** evade any punishment you receive.
 - a. If you use any evasion tool to bypass your punishment, then you will be muted again and your punishment will be longer (repeat offense). This does not apply to rule 3 (see 3b).

Repeat offenses

No. of offenses	Punishment
1	1-hour mute. Can be lower (30 minutes minimum).
2	3-hour mute.

¹ Spam is defined as many redundant and repetitive messages sent over a period of time.

² Filling up a chatbox regardless of how many messages are sent.

³ E.g., jokes that revolve around CSAM/pedophilia.

⁴ Bots that relay messages from a Discord server to OWOT and vice versa.

Pixel: A [REDACTED]
aaf: hi A [REDACTED]
aaf: im aaf
Pixel: DO YO HEAR ME? A [REDACTED]
Pixel: A [REDACTED]*
aaf: no i dont hear you
DE Limone: lh<Pixel> phhmv.
aaf: i see you
Pixel: A [REDACTED]
Pixel: [REDACTED]
Pixel: [REDACTED]

Not only does Pixel repeatedly say “[REDACTED]” but they also send a long, repetitive message. This falls under Rule 1.

User	<i>Pixel</i>
Punishment duration	1 hour
No. of offenses	1
Reasoning	Rule 1.

3. *JohnDoe* is 11 years old and admits to it:

JohnDoe: I am 11 years old, so what?

Note for moderators. It is important to discern whether a user is *jokingly* saying they’re underage or not.

User	<i>JohnDoe</i>
Punishment duration	2 years
No. of offenses	1
Reasoning	Rule 3.

After one year, they come back:

JohnDoe: Hi!

They still have to be muted (we assume that a moderator has access to every punishment log).

User	<i>JohnDoe</i>
Punishment duration	1 year
No. of offenses	Still 1. See rule 3b
Reasoning	Rule 3.

4. Imagine a user called *StalinFan* that says “Ukrainians deserved to starve.”, “It’s good that Russia is invading Ukraine. I hope all of them die!”, “Ukrainian children should be killed.”

Later, the user says “It’s simply a joke, don’t take it that seriously!”.

This falls under **Rule 7a**. Such a user would be muted for **1 hour**.

User	<i>StalinFan</i>
Punishment duration	1 hour
No. of offenses	1

Reasoning	Rule 7a
-----------	---------

Later the same user comes back, after the mute, and says:

“KILL ALL UKRAINIANS!”

See **repeat offenses**. The user would now be banned for 3 hours.

User	<i>StalinFan</i>
Punishment duration	3 hours
No. of offenses	2
Reasoning	Rule 7a, Rule 10

One could assume that therefore, the user would go through all of the “repeat offense” tiers and eventually get muted permanently. However:

Moderators may skip parts of the system, for example if the user violates Rule 7a and it is obvious they are simply there to troll. However, this should not be abused.

Therefore, StalinFan could have a 1-day mute instead. After another repeat offense they could have a 1-year mute. This is for the moderator to discern.

5. A user called *IdontLikeRules* often says “The rules should be less strict.” and “This site is being moderated too much; you should stop being harsh”.

The user receives **no punishment** because they haven’t broken any rules and are simply stating their opinion, it does not offend anyone.

User	<i>IdontLikeRules</i>
Punishment duration	None
No. of offenses	0
Reasoning	Stating an opinion does not break any rule.

6. A user called *HitlerDidNothingWrong* simply says something in chat.

HitlerDidNothingWrong: hi

One could say: “The user did not send an offensive message; therefore, they should not receive a punishment.” However, the **username** is also part of the message, and it breaks **rule 7a**.

User	<i>HitlerDidNothingWrong</i>
Punishment duration	3 hours (see reasoning)
No. of offenses	1
Reasoning	Rule 7a. 3 hours due to the username promoting ethnic genocide. User must be prompted to change their username via a private message.

7 (NSFW). There are two users *ABC* and *DEF* talking to each other.

ABC: oh my god boobs are so hot

DEF: i know right,,,,, mmngmh.,,

ABC: they make me so hard sometimes >///<

It is clear that ABC has initiated a sexual conversation. They are also describing details about when they are erect. This violates rule 4b. **However**, DEF did not break any rule as they simply agreed. This should not be punished.

User	<i>ABC</i>
Punishment duration	1 hour

No. of offenses	1
Reasoning	Rule 4b.

User	DEF
Punishment duration	None
No. of offenses	0
Reasoning	User agreed with ABC regarding something sexual, but does not talk about anything graphic.

8. A moderator *YoMama* is in an argument with a user *SoFat*:

SoFat: You're annoying yomama

YoMama: shut up dude

SoFat: You're rude towards anyone you don't like

YoMama: nobody gives a shit

SoFat: What did I even do??

SoFat has been muted for **1 hour** by **YoMama**. **Reason:** Rule 5

This violates the neutrality principle:

Moderators MUST moderate neutrally. You must not mute someone just because you don't like them.

SoFat was simply criticising *YoMama*'s behaviour and was punished unfairly. The moderator *YoMama* could be reprimanded or demoted. It is the responsibility of the admin or owner to assign a punishment for the moderator.

User	<i>SoFat</i>
Punishment duration	None
No. of offenses	0
Reasoning	User criticised the moderator <i>YoMama</i> and did not harrass them. The previous mute should be discarded and should not count to their number of offenses.

User	<i>YoMama</i>
Punishment type	Possible demotion
No. of offenses	1
Reasoning	User unfairly muted <i>SoFat</i> for criticising them, calling it "harrassment".

If the rules seem too strict or aren't worded properly, please contact at obecnyadresemail2@gmail.com.

Polonez, 12 VII 2026